

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	F	ILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
10/087,207 02/28/2002		02/28/2002	Patrick Jay Lutz	5408/11295-US2	7037
7278	7590	04/27/2004	,	EXAMINER	
DARBY &	ն DARBY	P.C.	PRYOR, ALTON NATHANIEL		
P. O. BOX 5257 NEW YORK, NY 10150-5257			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
				1616	

DATE MAILED: 04/27/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	·	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Summany		10/087,207	LUTZ, PATRICK JAY				
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
		Alton N. Pryor	1616				
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication app or Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address				
THE - External after after after If the Failu Any in earns	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Insions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. In period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period were to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing and patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	16(a). In no event, however, may a reply be time within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days ill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONEI	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status							
1)	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 20 February 2004.						
2a)⊠	This action is FINAL . 2b) This action is non-final.						
3)	3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is						
	closed in accordance with the practice under E	x parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 45	3 O.G. 213.				
Dispositi	on of Claims						
-	Claim(s) <u>1-5,11-22,24-29,31-43 and 46-82</u> is/are pending in the application.						
	4a) Of the above claim(s) <u>13,15-17,19-21,28-30</u>	<u>9,56,61,66,71 and 81</u> is/are withd	rawn from consideration.				
• -	Claim(s) <u>47-50</u> is/are allowed.	. EE E7 60 60 6E 67 70 70 00 00	in/ana main ata d				
6)∐ 7)□	7						
8)	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	election requirement					
•	on Papers	·					
_	The specification is objected to by the Examiner						
•	10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.						
,	Applicant may not request that any objection to the o	•					
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correcti						
11)	The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	aminer. Note the attached Office	Action or form PTO-152.				
Priority u	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119						
a)[Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents 2. Certified copies of the priority documents 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents application from the International Bureau	have been received. have been received in Application ity documents have been receive (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	on No d in this National Stage				
* S	see the attached detailed Office action for a list of	of the certified copies not receive	d.				
Attachment	• •	_					
	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	4) Interview Summary (Paper No(s)/Mail Da					
3) 🔲 Inforn	nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) r No(s)/Mail Date		atent Application (PTO-152)				

Application/Control Number: 10/087,207

Art Unit: 1616

DETAILED ACTION

- I. Rejection of claims 1-5,11-17, 24-27, 33, 34, 44, 51 under 35 USC 102(b) as being anticipated by Wan will not be maintained in light of amendment filed 2/20/04 for reason on record and reasons as follows. Applicant has deleted aromatic acids from the claims.
- II. Rejection of claims 1-5,11, 12, 24-27, 33, 34, 44, 45, 51 under 35 USC 102(b) as being anticipated by Adachi will not be maintained in light of amendment filed 2/20/04 for reason on record and reasons as follows. Applicant has deleted aromatic acids from the claims.
- III. Applicant's arguments filed 2/20/04, with respect to the rejection(s) of claim(s) under 35 USC 102(b) and 103(a) have been fully considered and are persuasive.

 Therefore, the rejection has been withdrawn. However, upon further consideration, a new ground(s) of rejection is made in view of rejection below.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Claims 24-27,39-42 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Claims 24-27,39-42 recites the limitation "aromatic carboxylic acid". There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim.

Application/Control Number: 10/087,207

Art Unit: 1616

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1-5,11,12,14,18,22,24-27,31-43,46,51 and new claims 52-55,57-60,62-65,67-70,72-80,82 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Adachi on record and Biedermann on record.

Adachi teaches a method of applying to skin (substrate) a composition comprising benzethonium chloride and salicylic acid to inhibit acne (microorganism). See abstract. Adachi does not teach the instant invention comprising hydroacetic acid and glycols. However, Biedermann teaches a method of applying a composition comprising hydroacetic acid and glycols to skin to treat acne by controlling sebaceous gland activity. See abstract, column 2 lines 33-38, column 3 line 45 – column 5 line 53, column 12 line 59 – column 12 line 12. It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art to modify the invention of Adachi to include dehydroacetic acid and glycols. One having ordinary skill in the art would have been motivated to do this since compositions comprising dehydroacetic acid and glycols treat acne by controlling sebaceous gland activity. With respect to the instant ratio of ingredients (hydroacetic acid: benzethonium chloride or salicylic acid: benzethonium chloride) the optimum ratios would have been determined through routine experimentation. One having ordinary skill in the art would have been motivated to do this in order to develop the

Application/Control Number: 10/087,207

Art Unit: 1616

most effective method for controlling the secretion of oils from the sebaceous gland to reduce acne. Applicant argues that compositions comprising 0.25% sodium dehydroacetate monohydrate and 0.50% benzene thonium chloride show unexpected results. Applicant refers Examiner to Example 1. Examiner argues, while this may be true, Applicant does not claim a composition that recites this specific combination.

IV. Claims 47-50 are allowable for reason on record.

Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Telephonic Inquiry

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Alton N. Pryor whose telephone number is 703 308-4691. The examiner can normally be reached on 8:00 a.m. - 4:30 p.m..

Art Unit: 1616

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Thurman Page can be reached on 703-308-2927. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are 703 305-3592 for regular communications and 703-305-3592 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703 308-1235.

Alton Alton April 1947